IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 3690 of 1991

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE H.K.RATHOD

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

@

GUJARAT RAJYA S T KARMACHARI MAHA MANDAL

Versus

G S R T C

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 3690 of 1991

MR AK CLERK for Petitioner No. 1

..... for Petitioner No. 2-3

MR HC Raval for Respondent No. 1

..... for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE H.K.RATHOD

Date of decision: 10/04/2002

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Heard learned advocate Mr. Clerk for the petitioner and Mr. Raval for the respondent corporation.

The grievance raised by the petitioner union is to the effect that the concerned employed working in the corporation had applied for leave to attend the rally organized by the union but that leave has been refused and salary for that day has been deducted and, therefore, present petition has been filed.

Considering the submissions made by the learned advocates for the parties, according to my opinion, such question has to be resolved by the Corporation itself after considering the representation of the petitioner union in the subject matter of this petition. Therefore, without entering into the merits of the matter, it is directed to the respondent corporation to treat this petition itself as a representation made by the petitioner and to examine the grievance of the petitioner union and to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law in respect of the leave for the day for which report was submitted by the concerned employees. Such orders will be passed by the corporation in accordance with law within two months from the date of receipt of copy of this order. With these observations and directions, this petition is disposed of. Rule is discharged. There shall be no order as to costs.

10.4.2002. (H.K.Rathod,J.)

Vyas